1967

Books Noted

Western Reserve Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/caselrev

Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Available at: https://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/caselrev/vol18/iss4/25

This Book Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Journals at Case Western Reserve University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Case Western Reserve Law Review by an authorized administrator of Case Western Reserve University School of Law Scholarly Commons.
BOOKS NOTED

CONFRONTING INJUSTICE: THE EDMUND CAHN READER. Edited by Lenore L. Cahn. Boston: Little, Brown & Company. 1966. Pp. xxiv, 428. $8.95. Edited by Edmund Cahn’s wife Lenore, this volume attempts, by presenting selections from Cahn’s published and unpublished works, to present the whole of his thoughts and philosophy. The selections have been made so that the reader can see both the development and impact of Cahn’s concepts of the “sense of justice” and the “consumer perspective” on this society without failing to appreciate the timeless value of these ideas. The book, containing a preface by Mr. Justice Hugo Black, should be of interest to those who are concerned with the principles behind and the direction of judicial trends and their inevitable impact upon the individual.

JEFFREYS: A NEW PORTRAIT OF ENGLAND’S “HANGING JUDGE.” By P. J. Helm. New York: Thomas Y. Crowell Company. 1967. Pp. 208. $5.95. While not attempting to exonerate a judge whose name has become synonymous with infamy, the author does show that “Bloody Judge Jeffreys” was both a product and a victim of his time. P. J. Helm has documented his position by drawing upon eye-witness accounts and original trial records, thereby avoiding the pitfall of relying upon pamphlets written by Jeffreys’ antagonists as his primary research tool. The author shows Jeffreys as a judge concerned with the individual trapped in a remorseless legal system and as an individual himself caught in the political intrigue of the Stuart Restoration.

PROBLEMS OF PROOF IN ARBITRATION: PROCEEDINGS OF THE NINETEENTH ANNUAL MEETING NATIONAL ACADEMY OF ARBITRATORS. Edited by Dallas L. Jones. Washington, D. C.: BNA Incorporated. 1967. Pp. ix, 416. $14.50. Beginning with the premise that strict adherence to the rules of evidence is not desirable in arbitration proceedings due to the unique nature of the arbitration process, but realizing that the parties and arbitrators do need some rules to guide them in the presentation of evidence, the National Academy of Arbitrators, at its nineteenth annual meeting, addressed itself to the question of what rules are applicable. This volume contains the proceedings of that meeting. Some of the areas explored are the hearsay and parol evidence rules, standards for the examination of witnesses, the arbitrator’s responsibility for insuring a fair hearing, and the use of pre-hearing procedures. Even though a consensus was not reached on every matter, the discussions clarify the problems inherent in the use of evidentiary rules in arbitration proceedings.