

Volume 24 | Issue 1

1972

Volume 24 Issue 1 (1972)

Case Western Reserve University Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/caselrev>




Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Case Western Reserve University Law Review, *Volume 24 Issue 1 (1972)*, 24(1) Case W. Res. L. Rev. Front Matter (1972)
Available at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/caselrev/vol24/iss1/2>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Journals at Case Western Reserve University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Case Western Reserve Law Review by an authorized administrator of Case Western Reserve University School of Law Scholarly Commons.

Case Western Reserve Law Review



Volume XXI
Pages 1 to 847

Cleveland, Ohio

1970

C 8312

CASE WESTERN RESERVE LAW REVIEW

Editor-in-Chief

WILLIAM B. LAWRENCE

Executive Editor

RALPH W. CHRISTY

Editors

THOMAS B. ACKLAND
EMMETT E. EAGAN, JR.

JOHN N. GULICK, JR.
THOMAS C. LIBER

E. JOEL WESP

Business Manager

JACK A. BJERKE

Editorial Board Members

JOHN M. ALEXANDER
JOHN M. DRAIN

STUART A. LAVEN
LARRY B. LITWIN

Associates

Thomas E. Africa
Thomas G. Belden
Jerry W. Boykin
Cray J. Coppins, Jr.
Robert J. DeLambo
Jeffrey S. Glazer
Michael L. Gordon

Richard E. Hahn
Karen Hammerstrom
David V. Irish
Norman A. Levine
Kathryn G. Milman
Robert M. Nelson
Michael R. Oker

Herbert E. Phipps
Charles T. Riehl
Jerome N. Scanlan
Thomas C. Simiele
James M. Stephens
Timothy F. Washburn
Ruth Zack

Secretary

Carolyn A. Moore

CASE WESTERN RESERVE LAW REVIEW

Volume 21

November 1969

Number 1

Copyright © 1969 by Case Western Reserve University

CONTENTS

ARTICLE

- FEDERAL REGULATION OF LAND SALES:
 FULL DISCLOSURE COMES DOWN TO EARTH
 RONALD J. COFFEY AND JAMES D'A. WELCH 5

COMMENT

- TAXING DISENTAILMENT SALES
 R. T. BOEHM 72

NOTE

- IMPLIED RIGHTS UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 — FEDERAL JURISDICTION — EXCLUSIVE OR CONCURRENT? ---- 93

RECENT DECISIONS

- SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 — SECTION 16(b) — CORPO-
 RATION LIABLE AS A DIRECTOR [*Feder v. Martin Marietta Corp.*,
 406 F.2d 260 (2d Cir. 1969), *petition for cert. filed*, 38 U.S.L.W.
 3018 (U.S. May 16, 1969) (No. 125)] 113
- CONFLICT OF LAWS — TORTS — HUSBAND AND WIFE [*Schwartz*
v. Schwartz, 103 Ariz. 562, 447 P.2d 254 (1968)] 125
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW — SEARCH AND SEIZURE — HEARSAY AS
 GROUNDS FOR PROBABLE CAUSE [*Spinelli v. United States*, 393
 U.S. 410 (1969)] 135
- TAXATION — REAL PROPERTY — ASSESSMENT BY UNIFORM RULE
 [*State ex rel. Park Investment Co. v. Board of Tax Appeals*, 16
 Ohio St. 2d 85, 242 N.E.2d 887 (1968)] 147

<i>CASES NOTED</i> -----	155
 <i>BOOK REVIEWS</i>	
DISCRETIONARY JUSTICE: A PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, by Kenneth Culp Davis OVID C. LEWIS -----	164
OIL: THE BIGGEST BUSINESS, by Christopher Tugendhat ARNOLD W. REITZE -----	171
 <i>BOOKS NOTED</i> -----	 174

The CASE WESTERN RESERVE LAW REVIEW, formerly the Western Reserve Law Review, is published four times a year by the students of Case Western Reserve School of Law. Second class postage paid at Oberlin, Ohio 44074.

Subscription: \$9.00 per year, domestic; \$10.00, foreign. If subscription is to be discontinued at expiration, notice should be sent to the Business Manager; otherwise it will be renewed as usual.

Single issues of the current volume are available for \$3.00 from the LAW REVIEW. Back issues are available from Dennis & Co., Inc., 251 Main Street, Buffalo, New York 14203.

Address correspondence to Case Western Reserve Law Review, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 44106. Telephone: (216) 368-3312.

EDITORS' PREFACE

In this first issue of Volume 21, the Editors have focused upon problems of particular interest to the real estate lawyer. Ronald J. Coffey and James d'A. Welch examine the legislative history and enactment of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act of 1968. Pointing out the legislative aims and policies of the Act and examining its scope and coverage, the authors proceed to demonstrate the distinct similarity of the Act to the Securities Act of 1933, and engage in a projective discussion concerning a variety of difficulties inherent in its application. They conclude that although the legislation was enacted with little notoriety, it may prove a harbinger of profound change likely to influence the operations of land developers throughout the country.

The growth of urban areas has created a demand in the real estate market for the sale of farmland. In his Comment on "disentailment sales," R. T. Boehm issues a caveat to the real estate practitioner that such land is often encumbered with future interests which create not only peculiar property problems, but also produce unique federal tax consequences. Outlining the mechanics of the disentailment sale, and the tax liabilities which attach thereto, the author concludes with the suggestion that awareness of these problems may well constitute part of the lawyer's professional and legal responsibility.