

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

PALESTINE INFORMATION OFFICE,  
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GEORGE P. SHULTZ, et al.,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 87-3085

**FILED**

**DEC 3 1987**

Clerk, U.S. District Court  
District of Columbia

ORDER

Before the Court are plaintiffs' motion for an order clarifying this Court's Opinion dated December 2, 1987 and the defendants' opposition thereto. Although the Court feels that no clarification is necessary, it will, nevertheless, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a), change the first sentence of the second full paragraph on page 12 of the Opinion which reads: "In short, the plaintiffs are not prevented from doing what Rahman and his associates have been doing since 1978, the year the PIO was founded." Instead, that sentence should read: "In short, the plaintiff Rahman is not prevented from doing what he and his associates have been doing since 1978, the year the PIO was founded, provided he complies with all relevant U.S. laws." Accordingly, it is, by the Court, this 3rd day of December, 1987,

ORDERED: that the first sentence in the second full paragraph on page 12 of the Court's Opinion dated December 2, 1987, that reads: "In short, the plaintiffs are not prevented from doing what Rahman and his associates have been doing since 1978, the year the PIO was founded." shall be deleted and in place thereof, the following shall appear in its place and stead: "In short, the plaintiff Rahman is not prevented from doing what he and his associates have been doing since 1978, the year the PIO was founded, provided he complies with all relevant U.S. laws."

*Charles R. Richey*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 CHARLES R. RICHEY  
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Upon consideration of plaintiff's emergency motion for an injunction pending appeal, and the court's finding that the movant has met the burden of showing exigent circumstances, stay, and further noting the facts as admitted by them, as set forth in the record and in the Court's Opinion of December 2, 1987, as modified by the separate Order to stay, it is, by the Court, this 3rd day of December, 1987.

ORDERED, that plaintiff's emergency motion for an injunction pending appeal be, and the same is hereby, granted.

*Charles R. Richey*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 CHARLES R. RICHEY  
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

PALESTINE INFORMATION OFFICE,  
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GEORGE P. SHULTZ, et al.,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 87-3085

**FILED**


DEC 3 1987

ORDER

Clerk, U.S. District Court  
District of Columbia

Upon consideration of plaintiffs' "emergency motion for an injunction pending appeal," the opposition thereto, and finding that the movants have failed to meet their heavy burden of showing entitlement to relief in the way of a stay, and further noting the facts as admitted by them, as set forth in the record and in the Court's Opinion of December 2, 1987, as modified by the separate Order today, it is, by the Court, this 3rd day of December, 1987,

ORDERED: that plaintiffs' emergency motion for an injunction pending appeal be, and the same is hereby, denied.



CHARLES R. RICHEY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE